

ELEMENT 8

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS

**9J-5.016
reference**

- (3)(a) **Goal 8.1** Sumter County shall take the necessary steps to insure that needed capital facilities will be provided in order to maintain adopted level of service standards and foster efficient and planned growth throughout the County.
- (3)(b)1 **Objective 8.1.1** Sumter County shall maintain standards for levels of service for each type of public facility, determine what capital improvements are needed in order to achieve and maintain these standards for existing and future populations and to repair or replace existing public facilities.
- (3)(c)4 **Policy 8.1.1.1** Sumter County shall maintain the following set of Level of Service (LOS) Standards which are a part of the Comprehensive Plan and which are used to maintain the desired level of service of all appropriate capital facilities and services within the County:

Recreation –
A. General:

Facility	Standards
Baseball Fields	1/2,500
Basketball Courts	1/2,500
Boat Ramps(2)	2 linear ft./1,000
Fishing (Fresh)	demand(1)
Golf	1/25,000
Game Rooms	1/10,000
Handball	1/20,000
Hiking	1 mile/10,000
Hunting (acres)(3)	demand(1)
Motorcycling	demand(1)
Multi-Use Rooms	1/4,000
Neighborhood Centers	1/25,000
Picnicking	demand(1)
Playgrounds	1/300 (ages 3-12)
Shuffleboard Courts	1/1,000 (+60 yrs)
Softball	1/2,000
Swimming (Fresh)	demand(1)
Tennis	1/2,000
Volleyball	1/10,000

Notes: 1. There are no existing standards for these facilities, need is determined by demand and/or natural resource opportunities available.

2. Assume that one boat ramp is 10 linear feet and equals 1 facility.

3. There are 59,061 acres of land available for hunting on state-owned lands.

B: For The Tri-County Villages DRI the following recreation level of service will apply:

Activity	Standard
Golf Course	1 Hole/100 du
Clubhouse	1 Hall/2500 du
Swimming Pools	1 Pool/2000 du

Tennis Courts	1 Court/1000 du
Golf Driving Range	1 Tee/150 du
Bocci Ball Courts	1 Court/ 1000 du
Horseshoe Courts	1 Court/1500 du
Recreation Trail Miles	1 Mile/1000 du
Pickleball Courts	1 Court/1000 du
Softball Fields	1 Field/3000 du

C: For The Villages of Sumter Sector Plan, the following recreation LOS standards will apply:

Activity	Standard
Golf Course	1 hole/100 du (1 18-hole course/1,800 du)
Clubhouse	1 hall/5,000 du
Swimming Pool	1 pool/1,000 du
Tennis Courts	1 court/600 du
Golf Driving Range	1 tee/400 du
Bocci Ball	1 court/400 du
Horse Shoes	1 court/1,000 du
Recreation Trail	1 mile/1,000 du
Pickleball	1 court/750 du
Softball	1 field/5,000 du

Traffic Standards -

- Sumter County adopts the FDOT LOS standards of Rule 14-94, Florida Administrative Code, for roadways on the Florida Intrastate Highway System (FIHS), Strategic Intermodal System (SIS), and roadways funded through the Transportation Regional Incentive Program (TRIP). These standards, based on peak hour, are as follows:

FIHS/SIS Facility	From	To	Required FDOT LOS Standard Based on Rural Classification
SR 44	Citrus County line	SR 93/I-75	B
SR 93/I-75	Hernando County line	Marion County line	B
SR 91/Florida Turnpike	Lake County line	SR 93/I-75	B
TRIP Facility			
None	N/A	N/A	B

- Sumter County adopts the following minimum peak hour level of service standards for roadways not part of the FIHS, SIS, or TRIP:

LOS D for roadways within or adjacent to the Urban Development Area as shown on the Future Land Use Map

LOS C for roadways outside and not adjacent to the Urban Development Area as shown on the Future Land Use Map

Potable Water -

The County hereby adopts the following level of service standards for potable water system design capacity:

- The average daily flow rate shall be 169 gallons per capita per day;

2. Maximum day flow rate shall be calculated as 2.5 times the average daily flow rate; and
3. Peak hour flow shall be calculated as 3.5 times the average daily flow rate.
4. Where a separate system supplying non-potable water for irrigation use exists, the potable water LOS on a per capita per day basis may be reduced subject to approval by the County. The potable water system shall maintain a maximum daily flow 2.5 times the average daily flow and a peak hour rate of 3.5 times the average daily flow.

Sewer -

The County hereby adopts a level of service for wastewater treatment design capacity of 100 gallons per capita per day. . For existing developments already providing central sanitary sewer service, and for new developments without an existing population base and no actual flow data for an existing system, the Board of County Commissioners may approve a lower level of service if the criteria of 4.2.1.5 are met.

Solid Waste -

The County hereby adopts 2.04 lbs. of solid waste per capita per day as the level of service to be provided.

Drainage -

The County hereby adopts the following levels of service for stormwater drainage:

1. For stormwater quantity for all new development and redevelopment, the minimum amount of stormwater required to be retained on development property shall be the difference in predevelopment and post-development runoff for a 25 year, 24 hour storm event in this area.
2. For stormwater quality for all new development and redevelopment, all stormwater treatment and disposal facilities shall be required, as a minimum, to meet the design and performance standards established in by the Florida Department of Environmental Protection in the Florida Administrative Code.. In addition, stormwater discharge facilities must be designed and constructed so as to not degrade the receiving water body below the minimum conditions necessary to assure the suitability of water for the designated use of its classification as established by the Department. .

Policy 8.1.1.2 The County shall establish relative priorities among types of public facilities as follows:

a. Priorities among types of public facilities. All public facility improvements that are necessary to achieve and maintain adopted levels of service are included in the financially feasible Schedule of Capital Improvements in this Capital Improvements Element. The relative priorities among types of public facilities shall be established by adjusting the levels of service and the available revenues until the resulting public facility needs become financially feasible.

b. Priorities of Capital Improvements within a type of public facility. Capital improvements within a type of public facility are to be evaluated on the following criteria and considered in the order of priority listed below. The final priority for all capital improvements shall be established by the County. Any revenue source that cannot be used for the highest priority facility will be used beginning with the highest priority for which the revenue can be legally expended.

1. Repair, remodeling, renovation or replacement of obsolete or worn out facilities that contribute to achieving or maintaining adopted levels of service.
2. New or expanded facilities that reduce or eliminate deficiencies in levels of

service for existing demand.

3. New public facilities and improvements to existing public facilities that eliminate public hazards not otherwise eliminated by improvements prioritized according to subsections 1. and 2. above.

4. New or expanded facilities that provide the adopted levels of service for new development and redevelopment during the next five (5) years, as updated by the annual review of this Capital Improvements Element.

5. Improvements to existing facilities and new facilities that significantly reduce the operating cost of providing a service or facility or otherwise mitigate impacts of public facilities on future operating budgets.

(3)(c)1 6. New facilities that exceed the adopted levels of service for new growth during the next five fiscal years by either providing excess public facility capacity that is needed by future growth beyond the next five fiscal years, or by providing higher quality public facilities than are contemplated in the normal design criteria for such facilities.

(3)(c)1 Policy 8.1.1.3 In the event that planned capacity of public facilities is insufficient to serve all
(3)(c)5 applicants for development orders, the capital improvements will be scheduled to serve the following priority order: .)

- a. Previously approved final development orders permitting redevelopment;
- b. Previously approved final development orders permitting new development;
- c. Previously approved preliminary development orders permitting redevelopment;
- d. Previously approved preliminary development orders permitting new development;
- e. New final orders permitting redevelopment, and;
- f. New final orders permitting new development.

(3)(c)1 g Policy 8.1.1.4 All facilities scheduled for construction or improvements in accordance with these policies shall be evaluated to identify any plans of State agencies, the Southwest Florida Water Management District, the municipalities of Sumter County and the Sumter County School Board that affect, or will be affected by, the proposed County capital improvement. (9J-5.016

(3)(b)5 **Objective 8.1.2 Upon adoption of this plan, Sumter county shall provide public facilities necessary to correct identified deficiencies and support future growth consistent with the elements of the Comprehensive Plan and the Future Land Use Map. Sumter County shall provide a means of apportioning the costs for these public facilities between existing and future development.**

(3)(c)8 Policy 8.1.2.1 Existing and future development shall both pay for the costs of needed public facilities as follows: .)

- a. Existing development. Existing development shall pay for the capital improvements that reduce or eliminate existing deficiencies, some or all of the replacement of obsolete or worn out facilities, and may pay a portion of the cost of capital improvements needed by future development based on benefits received. Existing development's payments may take the form of user fees, special assessments and taxes.
- b. Future development. Future development shall pay for its fair share of the capital

improvements needed to address the impact of such development. Upon completion of construction, "future" development becomes "existing" development, and shall contribute to paying the costs of the replacement of obsolete or worn out facilities as described in subsection 8.1.2.1.a. above.

Future development's payments may take the form of , but are not limited to , voluntary contributions for the benefit of any public facility, impact fees, capacity fees, dedications of land, provision of public facilities, and future payments of user fees, special assessments and taxes. Future development shall not pay impact fees for the portion of any capital improvement that reduces or eliminates existing deficiencies.

c. Both existing and future development may have part of their costs paid by grants, entitlements or public facilities from other levels of government and independent districts.

(3)(c)2. c. Policy 8.1.2.2 Capital improvements shall be financed, and debt managed by Sumter County as follows:

a. For each individual capital improvement, the County shall determine the best means of financing the improvement, including repayment of debt, by examining the current operating budget, short-term financing and long-term financing methods.

b. The aggregate amount of general obligation bonds of the County outstanding at any one time shall not be greater than five percent (5%) of the assessed valuation of the taxable real and personal property in the County according to the last assessment of such real and personal property.

c. The County shall not provide a facility, nor shall it accept the provision of a public facility by others, if the County or other provider is unable to pay for the subsequent annual operating and maintenance costs of the facility.

d. All development orders issued by the County that require public facilities that will be financed by sources of revenue which have not been approved or implemented (such as future debt or referendum) shall be conditioned on the approval or implementation of the indicated revenue source(s), or the substitution of a comparable amount of revenue from existing sources.

(3)(b) 4 **Objective 8.1.3 Sumter County shall provide for the needed capital improvements to maintain established level of service standards and provide for a concurrency management system to continually monitor and assure that levels of service are maintained on public facilities. .)**

(3)(c)1 Policy 8.1.3.1 The County shall include all capital needs projects that individually total more than \$20,000, and are included as needs from the Comprehensive Plan elements, within the Schedule of Capital Improvements.

(3)(c)8 Policy 8.1.3.2 The County shall provide, or arrange for others to provide, through enforceable development agreements, the public facilities listed in the Schedule of Capital Improvements contained in this Capital Improvements element. The Schedule of Capital Improvements may be modified as follows:

a. The Schedule of Capital Improvements shall be updated annually.

b. Pursuant to Section 163.3187 F.S., the schedule of Capital Improvements may be amended two times during any calendar year, and as allowed for emergencies, developments of regional impact, and certain small scale development activities.

c. Pursuant to Section 163.3177 F.S., the Schedule of Capital Improvements may be adjusted by ordinance and not deemed to be an amendment to the comprehensive plan for corrections, updates and modifications concerning costs; revenue sources; acceptance of facilities pursuant to dedications which are consistent with the plan; or the date of construction of any facilities enumerated

in the Schedule of Capital Improvements.

d. Any act, or failure to act, that causes any project listed in the Schedule of Capital Improvements of this Comprehensive Plan to be scheduled for completion in a fiscal year later than the fiscal year indicated shall be effective only if the act causing the delay is subject to one of the following:

1. Projects providing capacity equal to or greater than the delayed project are accelerated within or added to the Schedule of Capital Improvements in order to provide capacity for public facilities in the fiscal year at least equal to the capacity scheduled prior to the act which delayed the project, or;

2. Modification of development orders issued conditionally or subject to the concurrent availability of public facility capacity provided by the delayed project. Such modification shall restrict the allowable amount and schedule of development to that which can be served by the capacity of public facilities according to the revised schedule, or;

3. Amendment of the plan to reduce the adopted standard for the level of service for public facilities until the fiscal year in which the delayed project is scheduled to be completed.

(3)(c)7 Policy 8.1.3.3 Sumter County shall include in the capital appropriations of its annual budget (i.e., a "capital budget") all the capital improvements projects listed in the Schedule of Capital Improvements for expenditure during the appropriate fiscal year. The County may omit from its annual budget any capital improvements for which binding agreement(s) have been executed with another party to provide the same project in the same fiscal year. (9J-5.016.)

(3)(c)6 Policy 8.1.3.4 Sumter County shall determine, prior to the issuance of development orders, whether there is sufficient capacity of public facilities to meet the standards for levels of service for existing development and the impacts of proposed development concurrent with the construction of such development. The County shall make this determination pursuant to a concurrency management system that shall be adopted as Appendix A to this plan. (9J-5.016.)

(3)(c)5 Policy 8.1.3.5 , Sumter County shall maintain Land Development Regulations that establish the criteria for determining the vested rights of previously issued development orders and also establish the procedures for reserving capacity of public facilities needed to address the impacts of such vested development orders. (9J-5.016.)

(3)(b)3 **Objective 8.1.4 Sumter County shall coordinate land use decisions with fiscal resources through the maintenance of a financially feasible Schedule of Capital Improvements that are needed to maintain adopted level of service standards for existing and future public facility needs.**

(3)(c)9 Policy 8.1.4.1 All public facility improvements shall be consistent with the goals, objectives and policies of the appropriate elements of this Comprehensive Plan.

(3)(c)9 Policy 8.1.4.2 Projects in the Schedule of Capital Improvements shall maintain adopted standards for levels of service for existing and future development in a manner and location consistent with the Future Land Use Element of this Comprehensive Plan. Individual land use decisions shall be consistent with the Comprehensive Plan.

Objective 8.1.5 Implement School Concurrency

The County hereby incorporates by reference the School Board's Five-Year financially feasible Work Program (adopted by the Sumter County School Board on October 1, 2007) that includes school capacity sufficient to meet anticipated student demands projected by the County and municipalities, in consultation with the School Board's projections of student enrollment, based on adopted level of service standards for public schools and to correct any school deficiencies. This reference will aid in the timing management of residential site plan, development order, development permit (or the final approval/permit that allows the infrastructure improvements to commence) to ensure

adequate school capacity is available consistent with adopted level of service standards for public school concurrency.

Policy 8.1.5.1 Consistent with the Interlocal Agreement, the School Board and County agree to apply the following standards for school concurrency district wide to all of the same type of schools in Sumter County:

DISTRICT-WIDE	
TYPE OF SCHOOL	LEVEL OF SERVICE
Special Purpose	100% of DOE student enrollment
School-in-the-Workplace Charter	100% of DOE student enrollment
BY PLANNING AREA	
TYPE OF SCHOOL	LEVEL OF SERVICE
Elementary	90% of DOE permanent capacity
Middle	90% of DOE permanent capacity
K-8	90% of DOE permanent capacity
K-12	90% of DOE permanent capacity
6-12	90% of DOE permanent capacity
High	90% of DOE permanent capacity
Special Purpose	100% of DOE student enrollment
School-in-the-Workplace Charter	100% of DOE student enrollment

Policy 8.1.5.2 The County shall ensure that future development pays a proportionate share of the costs of capital facility capacity needed to accommodate new development and to assist in maintaining adopted level of service standards, via impact fees and other legally available and appropriate methods in development conditions.

Policy 8.1.5.3 The School Board, in coordination with the County, shall annually update the School Board's financially feasible Work Program, to ensure maintenance of a financially feasible capital improvements program and to ensure level of service standards will continue to be achieved and maintained each year during the five year planning period. The School Board and County shall coordinate the adoption of annual plan amendments adding a new fifth year, updating that financially feasible public schools capital facilities program, coordinating the program with the 5-Year district facilities work plan, the plans for the municipalities and County, as necessary, and updates to the concurrency service area map. The annual plan amendments shall continue to be financially feasible and the level of service standards will continue to be achieved and maintained.

Policy 8.1.5.4 The County and School Board shall coordinate an annual review of the element to review enrollment projections and evaluate and update procedures for annual update process.

CALENDAR OF KEY ANNUAL DEADLINES

February 1	Cities' and County's Growth Reports Provided to School Board
April 15	Staff working group meeting regarding enrollment projections and any proposed amendments to the school-related elements of the comprehensive plan provisions and to review monitoring and evaluation of school concurrency report
June 30	School Board provides Tentative Educational Facilities Plan to County and Cities for review
July 30	Cities and County provide School Board with comments, if any, on Tentative Educational Facilities Plan
September 1	School Board's adoption of Educational Facilities Plan
September 1	Update of Five-Year Capital Facilities Plan adopted into Cities' and County's comprehensive plans

**Sumter County
Comprehensive Plan
Capital Improvements Element**

**Annual Concurrency Report
Data & Analysis**

5-Year Schedule of Capital Improvements

FY 2008/09 to FY 2012/13

**Sumter County Board of County
Commissioners
November 25, 2008**

Introduction

Section 163.3177(3)(b)(1), Florida Statutes, mandates the Sumter County Comprehensive Plan's Capital Improvements Element and five-year schedule of capital improvements to be updated and amended to be financially feasible and reflect the period of FY 2008/09 to FY 2012/13 by December 1, 2008.

In order to meet this mandate, Sumter County prepared this annual concurrency report, which includes the required data and analysis to support the adoption of the financially feasible five-year schedule of capital improvements. The public facilities required for concurrency within the adopted Sumter County Comprehensive Plan include: Recreation, Roads, Solid Waste, Potable Water, Sewer, Drainage, and Public Schools.

This concurrency report is organized into a separate section for each of the public facilities. Each section includes a brief overview of the public facility service, an analysis of meeting adopted level of service standards, and a finding of concurrency deficits to be addressed.

The population projections used in this report to determine level of service deficiencies are based on the official 2008 population estimate for Sumter County from the University of Florida's Bureau of Economic and Business Research (BEBR) and from BEBR's 2007-2035 medium population projections ("Projections of Florida Population by County, 2007-2035" March 2008). The interim year projections between 2008 and 2010 and between 2010 and 2014 are based on an average rate of population growth. These projections were then reduced by the number of residents within the five cities (using a consistent proportionate share method over the 5 year horizon), and further breaking out the unincorporated population living in The Villages Development of Regional Impact (DRI) (based on the dwelling unit estimates from "The Villages 2007/08 Annual Report"). Finally, the unincorporated population between the ages of 3-12 and over 60 living outside of The Village was calculated based on a constant proportionate share of the population.

Population 2008-2013

	Years					
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Total County Population⁽¹⁾	93,024	95,212	97,400	101,400	105,400	109,400
Population in Cities ⁽²⁾	9,579	9,807	10,032	10,444	10,856	11,268
Unincorporated Population	83,445	85,405	87,368	90,956	94,544	98,132
Population in The Villages ⁽³⁾	46,153	51,853	57,553	63,253	68,953	74,653
Unincorporated Outside The Villages	37,292	33,552	29,815	27,703	25,591	23,479
Unincorporated Outside The Villages Ages 3-12 ⁽⁴⁾	2,983	2,684	2,365	2,216	2,047	1,878
Unincorporated Outside The Villages Ages 60+ ⁽⁴⁾	9,323	8,388	7,454	6,926	6,398	5,870

(1) University of Florida Bureau of Economic and Business Research (BEBR) (2008 Estimate and Medium Projection)

(2) Based on fixed proportionate share of 10.3% of population (based on 2008 BEBR population estimates)

(3) Calculated from The Villages 2007/08 Annual Report

(4) Calculated on a fixed proportion of population from 2000 U.S. Census

Recreation

The Sumter County Comprehensive Plan contains adopted level of service standards for specific recreation facility types. In addition, there are separate adopted level of service standards for recreation facilities within The Villages DRI. The different level of service standards for The Villages DRI is the result of the adoption of The Villages DRI as a sector plan into the Sumter County Comprehensive Plan.

Because The Villages DRI recreation level of service standards are tied to development orders and approved master development plans, The Villages DRI is bound to provide the recreation facilities as provided for in the development orders and approved master plans. These recreation facilities required within the development orders and master development plans correspond to the adopted recreation level of service standards in the Sumter County Comprehensive Plan for The Villages DRI. Consequently, no additional analysis is required regarding recreation facility level of service standards within The Villages DRI.

The recreation level of service standards and needs for the unincorporated population, outside of The Villages DRI, are as follows:

Recreation Level of Service Analysis

Facility	Standard	Inventory	Need			
			2008	+/-	2013	+/-
Baseball ⁽¹⁾	2,500	19	15	4	9	10
Basketball	2,500	15	15	0	9	6
Boat Ramps (2 lineal feet) ⁽²⁾	1,000	100	75	25	47	53
Golf	25,000	1	1	0	1	0
Game Rooms ⁽³⁾	10,000	7	4	3	2	5
Handball	20,000	0	2	(2)	1	(1)
Hiking	10,000	6	4	2	2	4
Multi-use Rooms ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	4,000	16	9	7	6	10
Neighborhood Centers ⁽²⁾	25,000	7	1	6	1	6
Playgrounds (Ages 3-12)	300	12	10	2	6	6
Shuffleboard Courts (Ages 60+)	1,000	6	9	(3)	6	0
Softball ⁽¹⁾	2,000	19	19	0	12	7
Tennis	2,000	3	19	(16)	12	(9)
Volleyball	10,000	7	4	3	2	5

(1) Baseball and Softball fields considered one facility

(2) Boat Ramps - 1 boat ramp = 10 lineal feet

(3) Game rooms, multi-use rooms, neighborhood centers
all provided in same facilities

(4) Multiuse rooms also include public schools

An interesting aspect of the recreation level of service analysis is the needs actually reduce between 2008 and 2013. This reduction in need is a result of the growth of the County's future population being focused within the cities, through annexation, and within The Villages DRI.

The County is able to meet these needs, with a few exceptions discussed below, through the County's existing recreation facilities and through the existing interlocal agreement with the Sumter County School District, which provides for the joint use of recreation facilities.

The recreation level of service analysis shows there is an existing deficit for handball courts, shuffleboard courts, and tennis courts. The deficit for shuffleboard courts is eliminated by FY 2012/13 due to the reduction in the unincorporated population 60 or older outside of The Villages. By FY 2012/13, the handball deficit reduces from 2 to 1, and the tennis court deficit reduces from 16 to 9. The County is in the process of negotiating interlocal service agreements with the cities for the coordination of parks and recreation services and facilities. The primary intent of these interlocal service agreements is for the cities to focus resources on the active park facilities while the County focuses on large regional passive park facilities. The pending approval of these interlocal service agreements with the cities for parks and recreation will resolve the outstanding handball and tennis court deficit. The County will amend the recreation level of services after the interlocal service agreements for parks and recreation are executed to reflect the coordination of parks and recreation services with the cities. Consequently, there are no capital projects required to address these deficits. However, the programmed recreation capital projects are for recreation enhancements and maintenance and not level of service. The recreation level of service deficits are being addressed through interlocal coordination between the cities and County.

Roads

Sumter County maintains a road concurrency database to track concurrency on roads within the County's concurrency network. This concurrency database is based on a growth rate traffic model prepared by Tindale-Oliver & Associates and in coordination with the Lake-Sumter Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO). The road concurrency database is updated each year to reflect changes in growth rates.

Sumter County is fortunate to have only a few roads with concurrency issues. Based on the concurrency database, the following roadways do not meet level of service standards within the FY 2008/09 to FY 2012/13 period:

Florida Turnpike – I-75 to Lake County
I-75 – Hernando County to Marion County
US 441 – Marion County to Buenos Aires Blvd (Only in 2013)
US 301 – CR 214 to Jarrell Ave

With the exception of the US 301 deficiency, the level of service deficiencies are primarily related to regional traffic impacts that are beyond the regulatory control of Sumter County. In addition, the County, in partnership with the City of Wildwood and the MPO, has initiated discussions with the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) to address the level of service needs of I-75 and the Florida Turnpike.

The FY 2008/09 to FY 2012/13 capital plan contains the following projects to address these level of service deficiencies:

Florida Turnpike – I-75 to Lake County:

The Florida Turnpike Enterprise does not currently show any capacity improvements to this segment of the Florida Turnpike in its current work program. However, the County has two projects within the five-year capital plan that will provide some relief. These projects include: C-468 widening from Florida Turnpike to SR 44 and a new half-interchange at C-468 and Florida Turnpike (alternative route for traffic for northbound Florida Turnpike traffic to travel to northeast Sumter County – The Villages; and relieve traffic from the Florida Turnpike and I-75 junction). The County, the City of Wildwood, and MPO are in discussion with FDOT to develop a strategy to address level of service concerns on the Florida Turnpike. Future amendments to the capital plan may be necessary to reflect the results of the strategy.

I-75 – Hernando County to Marion County:

Right-of-way and PD&E by FDOT in FY 2008/09 through FY 2012/13. The County, the City of Wildwood, and MPO are in discussion with FDOT to develop a strategy to address level of service concerns on I-75. Future amendments to the capital plan may be necessary to reflect the results of the strategy.

US 441 – Marion County to Buenos Aires Blvd (2013):

Preliminary Engineering by FDOT in FY 2012/13. Currently, the County is working with a developer to provide improvements to this segment of US 441 to resolve the deficiency. Once the agreement with the developer is finalized, the capital plan will be revised to reflect the improvement.

US 301 – CR 214 to Jarrell Ave:

Widen to 4 lanes: Right-of-Way in FY 2008/09 and FY 2009/10 by FDOT and Construction in FY 2010/11, 2011/12, and 2012/13 with County road impact fee. The County is in discussion with The Villages DRI and FDOT to advance this improvement. Once an agreement is finalized, the capital plan may need to be revised to reflect the results of the agreement.

The other projects contained within the Transportation capital plan are targeted toward roadways that are either nearing capacity over the 5-year period (i.e. Morse Blvd.) or are located in areas of the County that are anticipated for significant future development (C-470). In addition, the City of Wildwood approved development orders in October and November 2008 for two new developments of regional impact (Landstone and Wildwood Springs) and a substantial deviation to The Villages DRI. All three of these DRIs are in the process of negotiating proportionate share agreements for transportation improvements related to the DRIs with the City of Wildwood, County, and FDOT. Once these proportionate share agreements are executed by the parties, the Transportation capital plan will need to be revised to reflect these proportionate share agreements.

In addition, the Transportation capital plan is consistent with the MPO's Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and FDOT's adopted work program.

Solid Waste

Sumter County only operates a solid waste collection transfer station. The County has an existing contract with a private provider to transport and dispose of the collected solid waste. Consequently, no capital projects are required within the five-year capital plan to maintain level of service. The only project identified in the five-year capital plan is the replacement of the scale at the transfer station in response to maintenance needs and improved operation.

Potable Water and Sewer

Sumter County provides no central potable water or sewer services. All central potable water and sewer services are provided by either the cities or private utilities. For projects connecting to either city or private utility systems, the County requires documentation from the utility provider that capacity is currently available within the utility system to serve the proposed project. Consequently, no capital projects are required in the five-year capital plan to maintain level of service.

Drainage

The adopted level of service standards for drainage references specific permitting criteria. Consequently, concurrency for drainage is evaluated on a project by project basis through the development review process.

The five-year capital plan includes watershed management plans for Big Prairie and Gant Lake Watershed and the Jumper Creek Watershed. These watershed management plans are existing cooperative funding agreements between the County, Southwest Florida Water Management District, and the Withlacoochee Basin Board. These watershed management plans will assist in the regulatory implementation of the drainage concurrency standards.

Public Schools

On June 24, 2008, Sumter County adopted the Public Schools Facility Element and related amendments to the Intergovernmental Coordination and Capital Improvements Elements to implement school concurrency and to incorporate the current, at the time, five-year work program for the Sumter County School District. On September 23, 2008, the Sumter County School District approved their new five-year work program for FY 2008/09 to FY 2012/13, which is adopted by reference into the County's FY 2008/09 to FY 2012/13 capital plan.

**Sumter County
Comprehensive Plan
Capital Improvements Element
5-Year Schedule of Capital Improvements**

FY 2008/09 to FY 2012/13

Section 163.3177(3)(b)(1), Florida Statutes, requires the Sumter County Comprehensive Plan's Capital Improvements Element and five-year schedule of capital improvements to be updated and amended to be financially feasible and reflect the period of FY 2008/09 to FY 2012/2013 by December 1, 2008.

**Sumter County Board of County Commissioners
November 25, 2008**

Table of Contents

Table 1: Recreation – Projects, Balance Sheet and Revenue Sources for FY 08/09 to FY 12/13 Schedule of Improvements	Page 1
Table 2: Transportation – Projects for FY 08/09 to FY 12/13 Schedule of Improvements	Page 2
Table 3: Transportation – Balance Sheet and Revenue Sources for FY 08/09 to FY 12/13 Schedule of Improvements	Page 4
Table 4: Solid Waste - Projects, Balance Sheet and Revenue Sources for FY 08/09 to FY 12/13 Schedule of Improvements	Page 5
Table 5: Drainage - Projects, Balance Sheet and Revenue Sources for FY 08/09 to FY 12/13 Schedule of Improvements	Page 5
Table 6: Potable Water - Projects, Balance Sheet and Revenue Sources for FY 08/09 to FY 12/13 Schedule of Improvements	Page 6
Table 7: Sewer - Projects, Balance Sheet and Revenue Sources for FY 08/09 to FY 12/13 Schedule of Improvements	Page 6
Table 8: Public Schools - Projects, Balance Sheet and Revenue Sources for FY 08/09 to FY 12/13 Schedule of Improvements	Page 6

Index to Acronyms

Recreation Schedule of Improvements

FRDAP – Florida Recreation Development Assistance Grant
GF- General Fund
FDOT – Florida Department of Transportation

Transportation Schedule of Improvements and Balance Sheet and Revenue Sources

ST – Secondary Trust Local Funding Source
CTT – Community Transportation Trust Local Funding Source
PD&E – Project Development & Environment Study
PE – Project Engineering/Design
ROW – Right of Way Acquisition
Const – Construction
CEI – Construction, Engineering, and Inspection Support

Solid Waste Schedule of Improvements

ACMS – Private Company - Approved Agreement with County to Fund Portion of Scale Replacement

Drainage Schedule of Improvements

CBIR – State Community Budget Issue Request Approved in 2006
CTT – County Transportation Trust Local Funding Source

Table 1
Recreation - Projects, Balance Sheet and Revenue Sources for FY 08/09 to FY 12/13 Schedule of Improvements

Project Description ⁽¹⁾		Revenue Source	FY 08/09	FY 09/10	FY 10/11	FY 11/12	FY 12/13
Royal	Basketball Court Renovation	Grant/FRDAP 100%	\$37,500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Lake Miona	Courtesy Dock	Local Boat/Vessel Registration	\$70,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Lake Panasoffkee Rec Park Phase II	Multipurpose Field Lighting	Grants/GF		\$150,000	\$50,000	\$0	\$0
Lake Okahumpka Phase III	Fishing/Observation Pier & Restrooms	Grant/Local Boat/Vessel Registration	\$0	\$300,000	\$50,000	\$0	\$0
Marsh Bend "Outlet Park"	Restrooms/Picnic Area	Grant/GF	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$155,000
Lake Deaton Park Trail Head	Restrooms, Nature Trail, Parking	Grant/GF	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$95,000
Total Projects			\$107,500	\$450,000	\$100,000	\$0	\$240,000
Balance Sheet and Revenues							
Cash Balance Forward			\$0	\$21,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
General Fund			\$21,000	\$16,500	\$0	\$0	\$52,500
FRDAP Grant			\$37,500	\$262,500	\$0	\$0	\$187,500
FDOT Enhancement or Other Grant			\$0	\$0	\$50,000		\$0
Local Boat/Vessel Registration			\$70,000	\$150,000	\$50,000	\$0	\$0
Other Donations			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Less Project Projections			(\$107,500)	(\$450,000)	(\$100,000)	\$0	(\$240,000)
Balance			\$21,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

(1) Projects are not needed to maintain adopted level of service. Projects are for maintenance and general enhancement.

Table 2
Transportation Projects for FY 08/09 to FY 12/13 Schedule of Improvements

Road	Limits	Description	Revenue Source	Phase	FY 08/09	FY 09/10	FY 10/11	FY 11/12	FY 12/13
C-468	South of SR 44 to Turnpike	Widen to 4 lanes	ST	Total	\$234,000	\$1,400,000	\$153,000		
				PE	\$34,000	\$1,200,000			
				ROW	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$153,000		
C-470 E-Phase II	I-75 to the Lake Co. Line	Widen to 4 lanes	CTT	Total	\$439,000	\$250,000	\$750,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000
				PO&E	\$439,000				
				ROW		\$250,000	\$250,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000
CR 528 Phase I	CR 528A to SR 471	Improved 2 lane	CTT	Total	\$611,000				
				PE	\$49,000				
				CIE	\$32,000				
CR 528 Phase II	US 301 to CR 528A	Improved 2 lane	CTT	Total	\$530,000				
				PE		\$1,017,000			
				ROW		\$200,000			
C-462 PO&E Study	CR 209 to C-468A	PO&E	CTT	Total	\$200,000	\$150,000			
				PO&E	\$200,000	\$150,000			
				ROW		\$42,000			
CR 461 PO&E Study	CR 470 to C-468	PO&E	CTT	Total			\$52,000	\$170,000	
				PO&E			\$52,000	\$170,000	
				ROW					
C-468 PO&E Study	US 301 to Turnpike	PO&E	CTT	Total	\$221,000				
				PO&E	\$221,000				
				ROW					
C-48 PO&E Study	Bushnell to Lake Co Line	PO&E	CTT	Total	\$405,000				
				PO&E	\$405,000				
				ROW					
Morse Blvd-Phase I	C-468 to North of Rio Grande Avenue	Resurface and restripe to facilitate 3 lane section (2 through lanes with turn lanes) and modify and install new signal	CTT	Total	\$1,129,000				
				PE	\$150,000				
				CIE	\$89,000				
Morse Blvd-Phase II	North of Rio Grande Avenue to El Camino Real Circle	Resurface and restripe to facilitate 3 lane section (2 through lanes with turn lanes)	CTT	Total	\$500,000	\$603,000			
				PE	\$122,000				
				CIE	\$8,000	\$73,000			
Morse Blvd-Phase III				Total	\$370,000	\$530,000			
				PE					
				CIE					

Road	Limits	Description	Revenue Source	Phase	FY 08/09	FY 09/10	FY 10/11	FY 11/12	FY 12/13
US 301	North of CR 204 to Marion Co. Line	Add lanes and reconstruct	FDOT	Total	\$6,835,002	\$12,471,546			
				ROW	\$6,835,002				
				Constr		\$12,471,546			
US 301	From North of CR 232 to North of NE 110 Road	Widen from 2 to 4 lanes	FDOT and Countywide Road Impact Fees	Total	\$7,483,865	\$8,866,515	\$5,000,000	\$14,000,000	\$5,139,000
				ROW	\$7,483,865	\$8,866,515			
				Constr			\$5,000,000	\$14,000,000	\$5,139,000
CR 466A	Buena Vista Blvd to CR 139	Widen from 2 to 4 lanes	District 1 Road Impact Fees	Total	\$1,500,000	\$9,042,000			
				ROW	\$500,000				
				Constr	\$1,000,000	\$9,042,000			
CR 139	From 44A to C-466A	Widen from 2 to 4 lanes	District 1 Road Impact Fees	Total	\$1,000,000	\$8,781,000			
				ROW	\$1,000,000				
				Constr		\$8,781,000			
CR 462	US 301 to C-466A	Widen from 2 to 4 lanes	District 1 Road Impact Fees	Total			\$1,800,000	\$5,939,000	
				ROW			\$1,800,000		
				Constr				\$5,939,000	
C-466	Turnpike Interchange	New Turnpike Interchange	District 1 Road Impact Fees	Total	\$50,000				\$12,150,000
				ROW	\$50,000				
				Constr					\$12,150,000
C48 Reimbursement	I-75 to CR 616	Reimbursement to Secondary Trust for 4 laning	District 2 Road Impact Fees	Reimbursement	\$74,000	\$74,740	\$75,487	\$76,242	\$77,005
				Total					\$75,000
				PE					\$75,000
US 441	Buenos Aires Blvd to Marion Co. Line	Add lanes and reconstruct	FDOT	Total					
				PE					
				ROW					
I-75	Hernando Co. Line to SR 44	PD&E	FDOT	Total	\$59,028				
				PD&E	\$59,028				
				ROW					
I-75	Hernando Co. Line to C-470	Add lanes and reconstruct	FDOT	Total		\$926,740		\$879,978	\$8,029,224
				ROW		\$926,740		\$879,978	\$8,029,224
				PE					
I-75	C-470 to Turnpike	Add lanes and reconstruct	FDOT	Total	\$1,153,730		\$47,796	\$1,651,718	\$10,195,255
				PE	\$439,450				
				ROW	\$714,280		\$47,796	\$1,651,718	\$10,195,255
SR 48	I-75 to CR 475	Add lanes and rehabilitate	FDOT	Total				\$1,237,030	\$1,280,326
				ROW				\$1,237,030	\$1,280,326
				PE					

Table 3

Transportation - Balance Sheet and Revenue Sources for FY 08/09 to FY 12/13 Schedule of Improvements

County Transportation Trust (CTT) and Secondary Trust (ST) Balance Sheet and Revenue						
Balance Sheet	FY 08/09	FY 09/10	FY 10/11	FY 11/12	FY 12/13	
Cash Balance Forward	\$8,050,333	\$7,051,365	\$1,222,654	\$1,649,346	\$1,652,975	
Revenue Projections	\$13,065,032	\$7,247,297	\$7,354,994	\$7,424,802	\$7,516,804	
Less: Project & Equipment Projections (1)	(\$8,664,000)	(\$7,269,000)	(\$8,555,000)	(\$1,170,000)	(\$1,000,000)	
Less: Stormwater Funding	\$0	(\$106,806)	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Less: Operating Budget	(\$5,400,000)	(\$5,600,000)	(\$5,953,500)	(\$6,251,175)	(\$6,563,734)	
Balance	\$7,051,365	\$1,222,654	\$1,649,346	\$1,652,975	\$1,652,975	
Revenue Sources						
Ad Valorem	\$616,610	\$649,541	\$662,016	\$716,118	\$751,924	
Ninth Cent Fuel Tax	\$669,675	\$706,672	\$713,736	\$720,676	\$726,065	
Local Option Fuel Tax	\$3,992,364	\$4,002,018	\$4,042,038	\$4,082,450	\$4,123,263	
Constitutional Gas Tax (20% Portion)	\$303,563	\$303,563	\$303,563	\$303,563	\$303,563	
County Fuel Tax (7th Cent)	\$662,066	\$662,066	\$662,066	\$662,066	\$662,066	
Constitutional Gas Tax (90% Portion)	\$1,362,250	\$1,274,873	\$1,267,621	\$1,300,497	\$1,313,502	
95% of Estimated Revenue	\$7,161,632	\$7,247,297	\$7,304,894	\$7,424,802	\$7,516,804	
Maintenance Funding - Grants	\$5,845,000					
Citrus County Portion of Budget	\$56,400					
Net Revenue Projections	\$13,065,032	\$7,247,297	\$7,354,994	\$7,424,802	\$7,516,804	
Countywide Road Impact Fee Balance Sheet and Revenue						
Balance Sheet	FY 08/09	FY 09/10	FY 10/11	FY 11/12	FY 12/13	
Cash Balance Forward	\$4,633,309	\$6,263,309	\$12,064,809	\$10,964,124	\$10,964,124	
Revenue Projections	\$3,660,000	\$3,761,500	\$3,919,315	\$4,068,506	\$4,068,506	
Less: Project Projections	\$0	\$0	(\$5,000,000)	(\$14,000,000)	(\$5,139,000)	
Balance	\$8,263,309	\$12,064,809	\$10,964,124	\$1,042,632	\$0	
Revenue Sources						
Countywide Road Impact Fee	\$3,660,000	\$3,761,500	\$3,919,315	\$4,068,506	\$4,068,506	
District 1 Road Impact Fee Balance Sheet and Revenue						
Balance Sheet	FY 08/09	FY 09/10	FY 10/11	FY 11/12	FY 12/13	
Cash Balance Forward	\$14,493,525	\$17,993,525	\$6,261,025	\$10,652,630	\$10,946,551	
Revenue Projections	\$6,060,000	\$6,110,500	\$6,171,605	\$6,233,321	\$6,295,654	
Less: Project Projections	(\$2,550,000)	(\$17,823,000)	(\$1,800,000)	(\$5,939,000)	(\$12,150,000)	
Balance	\$17,993,525	\$6,261,025	\$10,652,630	\$1,046,951	\$5,092,605	
Revenue Sources						
District 1 Road Impact Fee	\$6,060,000	\$6,110,500	\$6,171,605	\$6,233,321	\$6,295,654	
District 2 Road Impact Fee Balance Sheet and Revenue						
Balance Sheet	FY 08/09	FY 09/10	FY 10/11	FY 11/12	FY 12/13	
Cash Balance Forward	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Revenue Projections	\$74,000	\$74,740	\$75,487	\$76,242	\$77,005	
Less: Project Projections	(\$34,000)	(\$14,740)	(\$75,487)	(\$76,242)	(\$77,005)	
Balance	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Revenue Sources						
District 2 Road Impact Fee	\$74,000	\$74,740	\$75,487	\$76,242	\$77,005	
FDOT Balance Sheet and Revenue						
Balance Sheet	FY 08/09	FY 09/10	FY 10/11	FY 11/12	FY 12/13	
Cash Balance Forward	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Revenue Projections	\$15,531,625	\$22,264,801	\$47,796	\$3,768,726	\$20,259,605	
Less: Project Projections	(\$15,531,625)	(\$22,264,801)	(\$47,796)	(\$3,768,726)	(\$20,259,605)	
Balance	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Revenue Sources						
FDOT FY 08/09 to FY 12/13 Work Program	\$15,531,625	\$22,264,801	\$47,796	\$3,768,726	\$20,259,605	

(1) Project projections include projects in five-year schedule and other maintenance projects not included in five-year schedule.

Table 4
Solid Waste - Projects, Balance Sheet and Revenue Sources for FY 08/09 to FY 12/13 Schedule of Improvements

Project Description	Location	Revenue Source	FY 08/09	FY 09/10	FY 10/11	FY 11/12	FY 12/13
Scale Replacement ⁽¹⁾	Sumterville-Solid Waste Facility	Grant/ACMS Agreement	\$110,000				
	Total Projects		\$110,000				
	Balance Sheet and Revenues						
	Small County Solid Waste Grant		\$77,000				
	ACMS Agreement		\$33,000				
	Less Project Projections		(\$110,000)				
	Balance		\$0				

(1) Project is not needed to maintain adopted level of service. Project is for maintenance and operational improvement.

Table 5
Drainage - Projects, Balance Sheet and Revenue Sources for FY 08/09 to FY 12/13 Schedule of Improvements

Project Description	Revenue Source	FY 08/09	FY 09/10	FY 10/11	FY 11/12	FY 12/13
Big Prairie and Gant Lake Watershed Management Plan (L787)	CBIR (2006)	\$477,000				
Jumper Creek Watershed Management Plan (L957)	CTT	\$0	\$541,000			
	Total Projects	\$477,000	\$541,000			
	Balance Sheet and Revenues					
	Cash Balance Forward	\$434,192	\$434,192			
	CBIR (2006)	\$477,000	\$0			
	09/10 FY Transfer from CTT	\$0	\$106,808			
	Less Project Projections	(\$477,000)	(\$541,000)			
	Balance	\$434,192	\$0			

Table 6

Potable Water - Projects, Balance Sheet and Revenue Sources for FY 08/09 to FY 12/13 Schedule of Improvements

Project Description	Revenue Source	FY 08/09	FY 09/10	FY 10/11	FY 11/12	FY 12/13
NONE	N/A					
Total Projects						
Balance Sheet and Revenues						
Cash Balance Forward						
Less Project Projections						
Balance						

Table 7

Sewer - Projects, Balance Sheet and Revenue Sources for FY 08/09 to FY 12/13 Schedule of Improvements

Project Description	Revenue Source	FY 08/09	FY 09/10	FY 10/11	FY 11/12	FY 12/13
NONE	N/A					
Total Projects						
Balance Sheet and Revenues						
Cash Balance Forward						
Less Project Projections						
Balance						

Table 8

Public Schools - Projects, Balance Sheet and Revenue Sources for FY 08/09 to FY 12/13 Schedule of Improvements

Project Description	Revenue Source	FY 08/09	FY 09/10	FY 10/11	FY 11/12	FY 12/13
FY 2008/09 to FY 2012/13 Sumter School District Work Program - Adopted September 23, 2008	School District	\$8,357,468	\$4,618,190	\$1,997,990	\$2,697,990	\$2,747,990
Total Projects						
Balance Sheet and Revenues						
Revenues		\$9,961,073	\$4,685,836	\$2,056,276	\$2,725,849	\$3,738,607
Less Project Projections		(\$8,357,468)	(\$4,618,190)	(\$1,997,990)	(\$2,697,990)	(\$2,747,990)
Balance		\$1,623,605	\$67,646	\$58,286	\$27,859	\$990,617